

Planning Team Report

	Stephens Local Environme uses in E2 Environmental C		n Raymond
Proposal Title :	Amendment to Port Stephens 2010 - land uses in E2 Enviror	-	ngs Hill, North Raymond Terrace)
.Proposal Summary :	Terrace) 2010 to include infras	to amend the Port Stephens LE structure land uses in the Land so enable private infrastructure	
PP Number :	PP_2012_PORTS_008_00	Dop File No :	11/09793
Proposal Details			
Date Planning Proposal Received :	19-Jul-2012	LGA covered :	Port Stephens
Region :	Hunter	RPA :	Port Stephens Council
State Electorate :	MAITLAND	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal
LEP Type :	Housekeeping		
Location Details			
Street : Pac	cific Highway		
	ymond Terrace City :	Raymond Terrace	Postcode : 2324
Land Parcel :			
DoP Planning Office	cer Contact Details		
Contact Name :	Monica Gibson		
Contact Number :	0249042710		
Contact Email :	monica.gibson@planning.nsw.	gov.au	
RPA Contact Detai	ils		
Contact Name :	Sarah Dasey		
Contact Number :	0249800462		
Contact Email :	sarah.dasey@portstephens.nsv	v.gov.au	
DoP Project Manag	ger Contact Details	2	
Contact Name :			
Contact Number :			
Contact Email :			
Land Release Data	3		
Growth Centre :	N/A	Release Area Name :	N/A
Regional / Sub	Lower Hunter Regional	Consistent with Strategy :	Yes
Regional Strategy :	Strategy		

Amendment to Port Stephens Local Environmental Plan (Kings Hill, North Raymond Terrace) 2010 - land uses in E2 Environmental Conservation zone

MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha)		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
If No, comment :			
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	Νο		
If Yes, comment :			
Supporting notes			
Internal Supporting Notes :			
External Supporting Notes :	The Planning Proposal (PP) intend systems and water supply system zone. These uses were exhibited principal Port Stephens LEP (King 2007, under the proposed definition infrastructure and telecommunical Standard Instrument Order in Dec (Infrastructure) 2007 came into for to remove these terms, with the un SEPP.	as with consent in the E2 Envi as permissible with consent i gs Hill, North Raymond Terrac ons of public utility undertakin tion networks. These terms w ember 2007 when State Envir rce, and the principal LEP was	ironmental Conservation in the E2 zone when the e) 2010 was exhibited in ngs, public utility vere removed from the omental Planning Policy s amended after exhibition
	The SEPP includes the following	relevant provisions:	
	- Flood mitigation works carried o without consent in any zone by SI		authority are permitted
	- Sub-terms of sewerage systems, with consent in any zone by SEPP addressed by the SEPP.		-
14	- Water supply systems is a group behalf of a public authority are pe Infrastructure (cl. 125). Other wate SEPP.	rmitted without consent in an	y zone by SEPP
	- Roads carried out by or on beha any land by SEPP Infrastructure (mitted without consent on
	The purpose of this PP is to ensur including private works or works consent in the E2 zone.		

Adequacy Assessment

Statement of the objectives - s55(2)(a)

Is a statement of the objectives provided? Yes

Comment :The statement of objectives satisfactorily outlines the intended outcomes of the Planning
Proposal (PP) i.e. to amend Port Stephens Local Environmental Plan (Kings Hill, North
Raymond Terrace) 2010 to permit certain infrastructure uses in the E2 Environmental
Conservation zone, to be consistent with the exhibited version of the plan.

Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b)

Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes

Comment : The PP explains that flood mitigation works, roads, water supply systems and sewerage systems are to be permitted with consent in the E2 zone.

Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? No

b) S.117 directions identified by RPA :

* May need the Director General's agreement

Is the Director General's agreement required? No

c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes

d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified? SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007

e) List any other matters that need to be considered :

Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes

If No, explain :

Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)

Is mapping provided? No

Comment :

No mapping is required. The PP only amends the land use table for the E2 zone.

Community consultation - s55(2)(e)

Has community consultation been proposed? No

Comment : Council have requested that the PP not be exhibited, as the changes to the LEP are consistent with the previously exhibited plan.

The Gateway can determine that no community consultation is required if the changes are minor and will not have any significant adverse impact on the environment or adjoining land (s73A(1)(c) EP&A Act).

This position is not agreed with, as some of the proposed uses may have significant environmental effects that warrant development assessment processes (i.e. the uses are not so minor as to be permitted without consent). It is also five years since the draft plan was exhibited, the terms used have changed and the plan has been in force since December 2010.

A 14 day exhibition period is recommended.

Additional Director General's requirements

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No

If Yes, reasons :

Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment :

Proposal Assessment

Principal LEP:

Due Date : June 2013

Comments in relation to Principal LEP :

A Gateway Determination for the principal LEP was issued on 10 July 2012, with 12 month timeframe for completion.

Port Stephens LEP (Kings Hill, North Raymond Terrace) 2010 is a standard instrument LEP, and will be wrapped into the new citywide SI LEP.

The draft principal LEP permits flood mitigation works, roads and water supply systems (except water treatment facilities) with consent in the E2 zone. Sewerage systems and its sub-terms are prohibited, along with water treatment facilities in the E2 zone. Council will be required to clarify its intention for the E2 zone in the Kings Hill LEP to be translated in the principal LEP.

Assessment Criteria

Need for planning proposal :	The one of the reasons for removing public utility definitions from the exhibited Kings Hill LEP was that the uses were expected to be permitted by SEPP Infrastructure. Many infrastructure types are permitted in zones where they are constructed by or on behalf of a public authority. However, there has been uncertainty about what constitutes works on or behalf of a public authority. Legal advice obtained by Council and the Department confirms that works such as the construction of new public roads undertaken by a proponent and then dedicated to Council, is not work on behalf of a public authority. If the works are not permitted by the SEPP, then the closed E2 zone prohibits the use.
	The E2 zone in the Kings Hill LEP is an extensive and dominant zone, reflecting the significance of the local environment. The zoning follows drainage lines and large patches of remnant vegetation, and is contiguous, leaving isolated residential and business zones. This means that infrastructure needs to traverse E2 zoned land to connect the various urban areas.
	A separate PP is being progressed by Council to review the boundaries of the E2 zone in Kings Hill to better reflect the development planning that has been underway.
Consistency with strategic planning framework :	Kings Hill is identified as a major urban release area in the Lower Hunter Regional Strategy, providing for up to 4,500 new lots over a 20 year period. This PP would assist with the provision of essential infrastructure to enable this development to occur.
Environmental social economic impacts :	The PP will assist in enabling essential infrastructure to be provided to the Kings Hill urban release area. This will have positive social and economic impacts, as the alternatives include public infrastructure which to date has not been funded, or isolated private infrastructure that cannot connect across the environmental corridors.
	Environmental impacts arising from infrastructure construction within the E2 zones will be assessed at a DA stage (Part 4 assessment).

Amendment to Port Stephens Local Environmental Plan (Kings Hill, North Raymond Terrace) 2010 - land uses in E2 Environmental Conservation zone

- 60

	Assessment Process	i				
	Proposal type :	Minor		Community Consultation Period :	14 Days	
	Timeframe to make LEP :	6 Month		Delegation :	DG	
	Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d)	*				
	Is Public Hearing by the I	PAC required?	No			
	(2)(a) Should the matter	proceed ?	Yes			
	If no, provide reasons :					
	Resubmission - s56(2)(b)) : No				
	If Yes, reasons :					
	Identify any additional stu	udies, if required. :				
	If Other, provide reasons	:				
	Identify any internal cons	ultations, if required :				
	No internal consultation	required				
	Is the provision and fundi	ing of state infrastructure	e relevant i	to this plan? No		
	If Yes, reasons :					
Do	ocuments					
3 7	Document File Name			DocumentType Nan	ne	Is Public
_			×			
기	anning Team Recomn	nendation				
	Preparation of the planni	ng proposal supported a	t this stage	e : Recommended with Condi	itions	
	S.117 directions:					
	Additional Information :	1. Support the Plar	ning Prop	oosal.		
				s required under section 56(2 ssessment Act 1979, as follow		
		(b) the relevant authors of planning proposal	ority must and the s proposal a	nade publicly available for 14 comply with the notice requi specifications for material that as identified in section 4.5 of).	rements for public t must be publicly	available
		3. No consultation	is require	d with public authorities unde	er section 56(2) of t	the EP&A

	Act.
	4. A public hearing is not required to be held into the matter by any person or body under section 56(2)(e) of the EP&A Act. This does not discharge Council from any obligation it may otherwise have to conduct a public hearing.
	5. The timeframe for completing the LEP is 6 months from the date of the Gateway Determination.
	6. Council should exhibit the Planning Proposal with sufficient information to inform the community how the proposal will amend both the existing Port Stephens Local Environmental Plan (Kings Hill, North Raymond Terrace) 2010 and the draft Standard instrument Port Stephens Local Environmental Plan 2012.
Supporting Reasons :	The PP will assist in enabling essential infrastructure to be provided to the Kings Hill urban release area.
Signature:	Junico Gun
Printed Name:	Torwing Libson, Date: 20 July 2012